

## What if there's no intervention?

Kathy Taylor

In my last <u>post</u> (video) I introduced the <u>PICO</u> as the abbreviation for the key elements of a clinical question. In this post I'll highlight other abbreviations that may be used, and also cover the varied use of the PICO abbreviation.

## Describing a clinical question

The key elements of a clinical question may be defined by the PICO:

Ρ	Population, Patient or Problem	
I	Intervention	
С	Comparator or Control	
0	Outcome(s)	2

Regarding clinical questions in intervention studies, including randomised control trials (RCTs), the intervention will often be a drug or combination of drugs, but could also be another intervention such as a surgical procedure. For the drug-based interventions, the comparator might be a placebo drug (which looks the same as the intervention drug and will be in the same packaging) or a different drug.

The <u>example</u> I gave in my video was a drug intervention study. It was a trial of glucose lowering drugs and had the following PICO:

P - Patients with type 2 diabetes

- I Metformin combined with insulin
- C Placebo combined with insulin
- O Changes in the carotid intima media thickness (primary outcome)

Rather than an intervention, the study might involve an exposure, as in a cohort study. For this, the key elements of the clinical question may be abbreviated by <u>PECO</u>:

Ρ	Population, Patient or Problem	
E	Exposure	
С	Comparator or Control	
0	Outcome(s)	

For example, a retrospective cohort <u>study</u> using data from a database of general practice records considered the relative risks associated with type 2 diabetes of all-cause mortality and cardiovascular mortality in middle aged people. The PECO is

- P Middle-aged patients
- E Type II diabetes
- C Without diabetes
- O All cause mortality, cardiovascular mortality

For diagnostic accuracy studies, we can use another abbreviation, **PIRT**:

Р	Population, Patient or Problem	
I	Index Test	
R	Reference Test	
Т	Target Condition	

An example of this is a <u>study</u> of point-of-care testing for heart failure:

- P Primary care patients
- I Point-of-care testing of N terminal fragment pro B-type natriuretic peptide (NT-ProBNP)
- R Clinical examination
- T Heart failure

The PICO, PECO or PIRT for a systematic review will be broader as it will cover multiple studies. For example, the following PIRT refers to the diagnostic accuracy systematic <u>review</u> that included the study described above:

- P Patients in ambulatory care settings
- I Point-of-care testing of B-type natriuretic peptide (BNP) or N terminal fragment pro B-type natriuretic peptide (NT-ProBNP)
- R Echocardiography, clinical examination or a combination of both
- T Heart failure

As I said in the video, the key elements of a clinical question will typically be found in the title and abstract of the article. Note that study questions won't always contain all the elements of a PICO, PECO or PIRT. For example, you may only find a population, exposure and outcome. This is illustrated by a <u>study</u> of the association between sugar-sweetened drinks and colorectal cancer:

- P Female teachers and administrators
- E Consumption of caloric soft drinks, sweetened bottled waters and teas, and fruit drinks
- O Colorectal cancer

## Varied uses and extensions of PICO

The PICO and PECO are often <u>combined</u> and referred to as PICO, where I for intervention is broadly defined to encompass a treatment, exposure or prognostic factor.

<u>PICOTT</u> is an extension to PICO, which combines all three abbreviations, adding T – Type of question (therapy, diagnosis, harm, prognosis or prevention)

T – Type of study (systematic review, cohort study, RCT or case-control)

The PIRT example that I gave above would have the following PICOTT:

P – Patients in ambulatory care settings

I – Point-of-care testing of B-type natriuretic peptide (BNP) or N terminal fragment pro B-type natriuretic peptide (NT-ProBNP)

- C Echocardiography, clinical examination or a combination of both
- 0 Heart failure
- T Diagnosis
- T Systematic review

Alternatively, for systematic reviews, there's the **<u>PICOTS</u>** abbreviation:

- P Population/Problem
- I Intervention (broadly defined)
- C Comparison
- O Outcome
- T Timeframe
- S Setting

In the context of systematic reviews of the prediction model performance, the PICOTS is

- P Population in which the prediction model will be used
- I Prediction model
- C Competing models
- O Outcome for which the model is validated
- T Timeframe, for prognostic models
- S Setting

Others remain using PICO but <u>alter</u> its elements according to the type of question.

All the above abbreviations are intended to help define a clinical question. A well-defined question will provide a clear focus for the literature search and will be more likely to provide a useful answer and ensure that research resources are well spent.



Starting with a poorly defined question will more likely produce confusing and unhelpful answers.



Dr Kathy Taylor teaches data extraction in <u>Meta-analysis</u>. This is a short course that is also available as part of our <u>MSc in Evidence-Based Health Care</u>, <u>MSc in EBHC Medical Statistics</u>, and <u>MSc in EBHC</u> <u>Systematic Reviews</u>.

Follow updates on this blog, related news, and to find out about other examples of statistics being made more broadly accessible on Twitter @dataextips